# Monthly Report on Planning Appeal Decisions - December Committee 2018

W	ard:	N/A
Co	ontact Officer:	Martin Holley

The planning department has received the following 4 appeal decisions from the 26<sup>th</sup> October to 28<sup>th</sup> November:

Site Address	Planning Reference Numbers	Description of Development	Decision + Costs?
91 Chessington Road, West Ewell, KT19	17/00976/FUL APP/P3610/W/18/3199228	Proposed erection of nine houses with associated facilities.	<b>Dismissed</b> 9 <sup>th</sup> November
9UU			No costs to either side.
24 Redwood Drive, Epsom KT19 8FL	17/00078/BOC APP/P3610/C/17/3191042	The breach of planning control is the infill area between the two front dormers permitted under 15/01378/FLH, which is faced with vertical tile hanging and aligns with the height of the approved separate flat roofed dormers but is set back by around 0.25m from the front face of the dormers	Dismissed & Enforcement Notice Upheld 9th November No costs to either side.
Vacant Land at Rosebank, Epsom, Surrey	17/00583/FUL APP/P3610/W/18/3200751	Proposed 2no. new detached dwellings with garages to vacant land	Dismissed 12th November  No costs to either side.
3 & 4 Carters Road, Epsom,	17/01375/FUL APP/P3610/W/18/3198067	Proposed change to existing roof to provide habitable	<b>Dismissed</b> 19 <sup>th</sup> November

## Planning Committee 13 December 2018

Surrey KT17 4NE		accommodation & single storey entrance porch to 4 Carters Road.	No costs to either side.
15 Albury Avenue, Cheam, Surrey SM2 7JT	18/00059/FLH APP/P3610/D/18/3211281	Proposed demolition of an existing detached double garage, erection of a new adjoining double garage incorporating 2.no en-suites within pitched roof at 1st floor level + link & installing 3.no velux roof lights on roof of the house.	Allowed 28th November  No costs to either side.

### **Summary of Appeal Decisions:**

## 91 Chessington Road:

The inspector supported the council in refusing the application on the grounds that the proposed units would have a detrimental effect on the living conditions of neighbours and would also fail to provide suitable living conditions and accommodation for future occupants.

#### 24 Redwood Drive:

The inspector supported the council in upholding the enforcement notice and thereby also refused to grant planning permission on the grounds that the proposed infilling of the dormer would be a prominent and incongruous addition to the street scene and would not be in keeping with the character and appearance of the area. The infill must be removed.

#### Vacant Land at Rosebank:

The inspector supported the council in refusing the application on the grounds that the dwellings would be significantly detrimental to the character and appearance of the street.

## 3 & 4 Carters Road:

The inspector supported the council in refusing the application on the grounds that the proposed roof extensions would be a prominent and incongruous addition to the street scene and would not be in keeping with the character and appearance of the area.

## 15 Albury Avenue:

The inspector disagreed with the council and considered that proposed double garage with habitable rooms at first floor level would not have a significant detrimental impact on the character and appearance of the local area.